UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,792	01/03/2004	Jeff Scott Eder	VM-67	1490
53787 ASSET TRUST	7590 04/06/200 C. INC.	EXAMINER		
2020 MALTBY		CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E		
	SUITE 7362 BOTHELL, WA 98021			PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/750,792	EDER, JEFF SCOTT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3695		

	SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3695						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 February 2009. A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the					
	and prior to the date of filing a brief	وط لموسوعون وطعوس النبي						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection. 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	• •	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or		atad alaima						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (i	10L-32+).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>175-197</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Narayanswamy Subra Primary Examiner, Art U							

Continuation of 13. Other: Review of Applicant's After-Final submission, made up solely of arguments, the arguments do not establish clear evidence of allowability as required by the MPEP.

The MPEP generally discourages the reopening of prosecution once prosecution is closed through the issuance of a final rejection. The MPEP has a relatively high bar for an examiner's withdrawal of the finality of prosecution once it is closed through a final rejection, limiting such reopening of prosecution to clear evidence of allowability if such evidence were to come to the examiner in a timely manner upon the issuance of a final rejection, or in the case of the recognition of a serious legal error made in the final rejection. These guidelines are explained by MPEP 706.07(e) Withdrawal of Final Rejection, General. "See MPEP § 714.12 and § 714.13 for amendments after final rejection. Although it is permissible to withdraw a final rejection for the purpose of entering a new ground of rejection, this practice is to be limited to situations where a new reference either fully meets at least one claim or meets it except for differences which are shown to be completely obvious".